

## Call for Papers

### *Maqāṣid* and Ethics: Foundations, Approaches, and Applied Fields

Mutaz Al-Khatib

#### 1. Introduction

*Maqāṣid al-sharīʿa* (the higher objectives of Islamic law, hereinafter *maqāṣid*), both at the practical and theoretical levels, continue to generate debate and scholarly interest since the late 19<sup>th</sup> century. This interest was renewed in the '80s and '90s of the 20<sup>th</sup> century bringing about an influx of studies and monographs in the last few decades in various languages.

These studies reflect the interest in *maqāṣid* and draws attention to four key points:

First: The diversity of approaches to *maqāṣid*, including:

- *Fiqhī* approaches that explore *maqāṣid* in *fiqh* (Islamic jurisprudence), *usūl al-fiqh* (Islamic legal theory), and the history of Islamic legislation.
- Philosophical approaches that explore *maqāṣid* in the philosophy of religion focusing on Sharia wisdom (*asrār al-sharīʿa*) and the role of religion, or in moral philosophy and the study of values.
- Political approaches both at the theoretical level concerned with *maqāṣid* and political theory, and at the practical level concerned with engaging *maqāṣid* in Muslim politics.
- Historical approaches that include accounts of capitalizing on *maqāṣid* for reform and legislative change within the context of modernity and revival.
- Hermeneutic approaches exploring the role of *maqāṣid* in interpreting the Quran and *ḥadīth*.

Second: *maqāṣid* is no longer exclusively addressed under *usūl al-fiqh*. In fact, *maqāṣid* has turned into a headline under various fields and disciplines, a trend resulting from the engagement of scholars from outside the field of Islamic studies with *maqāṣid*. However, this trend was not accompanied by the theorization necessary for developing *maqāṣid* into a multidisciplinary field characterized by rigor and theoretical foundations.

Third: Diverse, and at times conflicting, roles have been assigned to *maqāṣid* given the diverse and conflicting objectives of those engaging *maqāṣid*, including the secularists and the Islamists, the reformists and the traditionalists, and scholars of Islamic studies and scholars of other fields (such as philosophy, politics, economics, medicine, environmental studies, social studies, engineering, architecture, and others).

Fourth: the interest in the relation between *maqāṣid* and moral philosophy was marginal and insufficient. However, an ethical undertone can be noticed in the works on *maqāṣid* starting with contemporary scholars such as Muḥammad al-Ṭāhir bin ʿĀshūr who considered *al-ḥurriyya* (freedom) as one of the *maqāṣid* and underscored the importance of maintaining social order, and ʿAllāl al-Fāsī who held that “virtues (*makārim al-akhlāq*) are the criterion for all public interest and the foundation for all the objectives of Islam”. This ethical approach gained momentum with the work of Muḥammad ʿAbdullāh Drāz

where the idea of *maqāṣid* left its mark on his work and matured with the works of Ṭāha ‘Abdurrahmān and others.

## 2. *Maqāṣid* and ethics

With the great majority of *maqāṣid* studies being legal in nature, the centrality of *maṣlaḥa* (public interest) in *maqāṣid* rendered these studies a fertile ground for law and ethics to interact. Accordingly, a number of applied studies engaged with *maqāṣid* as a general ethical framework for decision making in the fields of medicine, Islamic banking, Islamic finance, marketing, and technology. These studies vary in terms of value and depth, with many lacking a theoretical foundation.

This centrality of *maṣlaḥa* has also prompted a number of contemporary scholars to add to the five essentials in Islamic law (*al-ḍarūriyyāt al-khamsa*) or to expand their scope in a manner that incorporates modern values. The numerous additions and the variability in perspectives rendered *maqāṣid* fluid and reflective of the individual biases of the authors and of their alignment with the values of modernity, all presented under the heading of *maqāṣid*. Accordingly, one can detect a shift in the governing value system: from the classical one reflected in the *usūl al-fiqh* and *maqāṣid* works of the pioneers such as al-Juwaynī, al-Ghazālī, al-‘Izz bin ‘Abd al-Salām, al-Qarāfī, and al-Shāṭibī, to a modern system evident in the works of many contemporaries on *maqāṣid*. These modern perspectives are but interpretations that came to respond to modern challenges through utilizing *maqāṣid*, an idea that was revived in the late 19<sup>th</sup> century, to argue for the compliance of political and religious reform with *Sharia*. For example, while Khayr Al-dīn al-Tūnisī and colleagues revived *maqāṣid* to legitimize the adoption of public institutions from the West, Muḥammad ‘Abduh revived *maqāṣid* to promote *ijtihād* and avoid (*taqlīd*).

Despite the growing scholarly interest in moral philosophy in the second half of the 19<sup>th</sup> century, interest in Islamic ethics lagged and only picked up in the middle of the 20<sup>th</sup> century through various approaches: scriptural, theological, *fiqhī*, *uṣūlī*, historical, Sufi, and philosophical.

Bringing together *maqāṣid* and ethics presents a challenge:

First: Both fields continue to struggle today to clarify their boundaries and resolve the surrounding ambiguity. Ethics overlaps with several other fields including *fiqh* and *uṣūl al-fiqh*, rendering the question on whether these are discernably different fields a valid one. This overlap further raises the crucial question on the authoritative sources and whether they are different in the different fields, which is a key consideration in differentiating a legal judgement from an ethical one. Accordingly, exploring the relationship between ethics and *maqāṣid* demands clarifying the boundaries and relationship between ethics and *fiqh*.

Ambiguity has also been characteristic of the field of *maqāṣid* since its inception, with questions pertaining to the position of *maqāṣid* in reference to *usūl al-fiqh*: Is it a separate field or a constituent of *uṣūl al-fiqh*? What relationship does *maqāṣid* have to substantive law (*kutub al-furū‘ fiqhiyya*)? Does *maqāṣid* only serve to rationalize the standing *fiqhī* rulings of the different legal schools (*madhāhib fiqhiyya*), or can it serve as a source for conducting rulings even if not in alignment with one of these schools?

Second: As scholarly fields, both *maqāṣid* and ethics today stretch across various disciplines and fields, and as is the case with ethics, *maqāṣid* has become a multidisciplinary field.

Third: Both fields, *maqāṣid* and ethics, have two domains: theoretical and practical. *Maqāṣid*, in principle, is founded on linking the universal to the particular on the one hand, and the theoretical to the practical on the other. As for ethics, as it stands today, it comprises both theoretical and applied, with the latter originally encompassing bioethics, environmental ethics, and professional ethics and expanding later to incorporate other disciplines such as politics, media, education, and others that raise practical questions in need of ethical deliberation.

In this seminar, we adopt a conception for ethics which encompasses three levels that seek to address the following three questions:

- What should I do (deontological ethics)?
- How should I live (virtue ethics)?
- What are the sources for addressing the aforementioned questions (meta-ethics)?

Building on this conception, the relationship between *maqāṣid* and ethics is in need of a thorough and in-depth investigation. Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya states that “Sharia is all about justice, mercy, wisdom, and good. Thus, any ruling that replaces justice with injustice, mercy with its opposite, common good with mischief, or wisdom with nonsense, is a ruling that does not belong to the Sharia, even if it is claimed to be so according to some interpretation”. Al-Shāṭibī also states that “Sharia is all about cultivation of good behavior (*takhalluq bi makārim al-akhlāq*)” despite the fact that he places virtues (*makārim al-akhlāq*) within embellishments (*taḥsīniyyāt*) under *maṣlaḥa*.

These examples demonstrate that the field of *maqāṣid*, in fact the field of *usūl al-fiqh* as a whole, is not restricted to the methodological dimension and the interpretive tools that regulate reasoning and interpretation, but rather extends to regulate human behavior and evaluate actions, as well as define the connotations of the ethical language represented by the five normative rulings, their ratio legis and their rationalization, an ethical language that offers way more than the dichotomy of good and bad (*ḥusn* and *qubh*). Talking about *maqāṣid* brings to the fore a discussion on deontology and utilitarianism: that is on whether obligations are to be fulfilled for themselves or for an underlying rational goodness.

### 3. Themes and key questions:

There are four themes for this seminar:

#### (1) *Maqāṣid* and ethics: concepts and the theoretical framework

- The concepts of *maqāṣid*, *maṣlaḥa*, and ethics
- A comparative analysis between Ṭāha ‘Abdurrahmān’s proposal and the *fiqhī* tradition.
- *Maqāṣid* and teleology
- Exploring the relationship of *maqāṣid* and ethics in foundational and contemporary scholarly works.
- Classifying virtues under the embellishments (*taḥsīniyyāt*): do ethics fall under the essentials or the embellishments?

- *Maqāṣid*: actions, intents, and ends.

(2) *Maqāṣid al-sharīʿa*: ethical issues and principles.

- Benefit and the philosophy of values.
- *Maqāṣid* between the *fiqhī* reasoning (*taʿlīl*) and moral reasoning.
- *Maslaḥa* and utilitarianism.
- The hierarchy of *maṣlaḥa* and the relevance to the five rulings.
- The *uṣūlī* debate over *maṣlaḥa*
- The hierarchy of *maṣlaḥa* and the criteria for balancing benefits.
- The essentials: a historical account, the criteria for determining essentials, the potential for restricting what counts as essential, and the relevance to common morality and universal values.
- Essentials and the values of modernity: capitalizing on the concept of essentials in modern times.
- *Maṣlaḥa* and desires (*ḥuḏūḏ al-naḥs*): good deeds between the objectives of the lawgiver and the objectives of the *mukallaḥ*.
- Commands/forbids and divine command theory: a comparative study.
- Religious restrainer and natural restrainer: ethics between the inner and outer
- Philosophy of permissibility: the permissible between divine objectives and the agent's objectives.
- *Maqāṣid* and sources for judgement: can *maqāṣid* be a source for conducting rulings?
- Compliance (*al-imtithāl*) and virtue: can compliance with commands and prohibitions produce virtuous person?
- *Maqāṣid* between Ashʿarite epistemology and Muʿtazilite epistemology.
- Ethics and custom (*ʿurf*): virtues (*makārim al-akhlāq*) and good habits (*maḥāsinal-ʿādāt*) between stability and change.

(3) Ethical approaches to *maqāṣid*:

- Contemporary ethical approaches (Muḥammad ʿAbdullāh Drāz, Ṭāha ʿAbdurrahmān, and others).
- Moral cultivation: analytical reading of one of the foundational works on *maqāṣid* from an ethical perspective (e.g al-Ghazālī, al-ʿIzz bin ʿAbd al-Salām, al-Shāṭibī, ...)

(4) Applied cases:

Choose a specific case from one of the following fields:

- Gender issues
- Economics and financial transactions
- Politics

- Bioethics
- Human rights
- Capitalizing on *maqāṣid* in political Islam
- Environmental ethics

Or any other topic fits under ‘*maqāṣid* and ethics’.

#### 4. CILE’s work on *maqāṣid* and *maslaḥa*

This seminar comes as part of a series of activities and events held by the Research Center for Islamic Legislation and Ethics (CILE) on the topic. In May 2017, CILE held its summer school in Granada under the title “*Maqāṣid* and ethics in contemporary scholarship”, and in November of that year CILE dedicated a closed seminar to explore “Ethics and *maslaḥa*: towards a comprehensive theory”. Most recently, CILE held its winter school in January 2021 on “*Maslaḥa* as an ethical concept: interdisciplinary approaches”.

In addition, CILE has held some public discussions on this topic, including an interview with Dr. Muhammad Khalid Masud in 2015 on “The concept of *maslaḥa* and its ethical implications”, and a public lecture on “What is *maslaḥa* (benefit/interest)? And who decides?” in November 2017 hosting Felicitas Opwis, Jeremy Koons, and Mutaz Al-Khatib.

Lastly, this seminar comes as part of the continued work on the topic by the Methodology and Ethics Unit at CILE, under the supervision of Mutaz Al-Khatib. To date, this unit has organized three specialized seminars on Quran and ethics, modern *ijtihād* and ethics, and Hadith and ethics. The proceedings of the first two seminars were published in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> volumes of the *Journal of Islamic Ethics*, and the proceedings of the last seminar are to be published soon in an edited volume. The upcoming seminar on *maqāṣid* and ethics comes to complement this series.

#### 5. Guidelines for papers:

Abstracts and research papers are to fulfill the following:

1. *fiqhī* or *uṣūlī* topics should address modern ethical concerns.
2. Papers addressing the ethical theory of a specific scholar should avoid delving into biography and restrict analysis to the ethical theory itself.
3. Papers on applied ethics should include a theoretical foundation on which the applied analysis is built, bringing together the theoretical and the applied.

CILE calls on scholars and academicians with interest in the topic of the seminar, especially from the fields of *maqāṣid*, *fiqh* and *uṣūl al-fiqh*, philosophy, and applied fields (including medicine, business, economics, politics, environment, and others) to send their submissions including:

1. An abstract (300 to 500 words) that details the idea/argument, key research question, and the proposed methodology to address the question.

2. A short biography (not exceeding 500 words) that details the academic background of the researcher, their research interests, and key publications.

Scholars with successful submission will be contacted and invited to submit their full papers (7000 to 10,000 words) according to the timeline listed below.

#### **4. About the seminar:**

Abstracts and papers will be evaluated by a scientific committee building on scientific criteria and taking into consideration the relevance of the submission to the topic of the seminar. **A limited number of successful submissions** will be selected to invite their authors to participate in the seminar to be held in Doha. CILE will cover travel and accommodation expenses.

#### **Publishing accepted papers:**

After concluding the seminar, the accepted papers will undergo blind peer review, after which they will be published in a special volume of the *Journal of Islamic Ethics* or in an edited volume under the *Studies in Islamic Ethics* Series, both of which published by Brill in Leiden. All publications will be open access.

#### **Language for papers and the seminar:**

Abstracts and papers may be submitted in Arabic or in English. The seminar will use both languages with simultaneous interpretation made available.

#### **Timeline for submissions and the seminar:**

- Deadline for sending submissions (abstracts and biographies): July 20, 2021.
- Informing scholars with decision on abstracts: July 30, 2021
- Deadline for sending first draft of full paper: October 25, 2021.
- Informing scholars of decision on submitted papers: November 10, 2021.
- Date for the seminar to be held at CILE in Doha: December 6-8, 2021.
- Deadline for submission of the final papers after implementing the necessary revisions: January 30, 2022.

#### **Contact details:**

- Submissions are to be sent by email to ***submit@cilecenter.org***
- For inquiries relevant to this call, please contact Dr. Mutaz Al-Khatib at CILE, College of Islamic Studies, Hamad bin Khalifa University ([malkhatib@hbku.edu.qa](mailto:malkhatib@hbku.edu.qa))
- For inquiries relevant to the *Journal of Islamic Ethics* and *Studies in Islamic Ethics* book series, please contact ([jie@brill.com](mailto:jie@brill.com))